KCL-PH-TH/2014-06, LCTS/2014-07, CERN-PH-TH/2014-028, ACT-1-14

Starobinsky-Like Inflation in Dilaton-Brane Cosmology

John Ellis[a],* Nick E. Mavromatos[a],† and Dimitri V. Nanopoulos[b‡]
[a] *Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology Group, Department of Physics, King's College London, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom; Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland and*
[b] *George P. and Cynthia W. Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA; Astroparticle Physics Group, Houston Advanced Research Center (HARC), Mitchell Campus, Woodlands, TX 77381, USA; Academy of Athens, Division of Natural Sciences, 28 Panepistimiou Avenue, Athens 10679, Greece,*

We discuss how Starobinsky-like inflation may emerge from dilaton dynamics in brane cosmology scenarios based on string theory, in which our universe is represented as a three-brane. The effective potential may acquire a constant term from a density of effectively point-like non-pertubative defects on the brane. Higher-genus corrections generate corrections to the effective potential that are exponentially damped at large field values, as in the Starobinsky model, but at a faster rate, leading to a smaller prediction for the tensor-to scalar perturbation ratio r. This may be compensated partially by logarithmic deformations on the world-sheet due to recoil of the defects due to scattering by string matter on the brane, which tend to enhance the tensor-to-scalar ratio.

arXiv:1402.5075v1 [hep-th] 20 Feb 2014

The remarkable consistency of the inflationary model of Starobinsky [1] with the first installment of data from the Planck satellite [2] has triggered great interest in the current literature, revisiting the model from various points of view, such as no-scale supergravity [3, 4], related models including superconformal supergravity [5], dynamically-broken supergravity [6] and induced gravity [7]. The Starobinsky model obtains a de Sitter (inflationary) cosmological solution to the gravitational equations by postulating an action in four space-time dimensions that includes a term quadratic in the scalar curvature [1]

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2\,\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,\left(R + \beta\,R^2\right)\ ,\ \beta = \frac{8\,\pi}{3\,\mathcal{M}^2}\ , \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$, and G $= 1/m_P^2$ is Newton's (gravitational) constant in four space-time dimensions, m_P is the Planck mass, and $\mathcal{M}$ is a constant of mass dimension one, characteristic of the model. The scale of inflation in this model is linked to the magnitude of β or, equivalently, to that of $\mathcal{M}$, and the data require $\beta \gg 1$ ($\mathcal{M} \ll m_P$). From a microscopic point of view, such a large value of β might appear somewhat surprising, and a challenge to anchor in a more complete quantum theory of gravity [1].

Although the Starobinsky model might appear not to contain any fundamental scalar field that could be the inflaton, it is in fact conformally equivalent to ordinary Einstein gravity coupled to a scalar field with an effective potential that drives inflation [10]. To see this, one first linearizes the R^2 terms in (1) by means of an auxiliary Lagrange-multiplier field $\tilde{\alpha}(x)$, then rescales the metric by a conformal transformation and redefines the conformal scalar field so that the theory is written in terms of canonically-normalized Einstein and scalar-field terms:

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to g^E_{\mu\nu} = (1 + 2\,\beta\,\tilde{\alpha}(x))\ g_{\mu\nu}\ , \quad (2)$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}\,(x) \to \varphi(x) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\ln\,(1 + 2\,\beta\,\tilde{\alpha}\,(x))\ , \quad (3)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,\left(R + \beta\,R^2\right)\\ &\hookrightarrow \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,\left((1 + 2\,\beta\,\tilde{\alpha}\,(x))\ R - \beta\,\tilde{\alpha}(x)^2\right)\\ &\hookrightarrow \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g^E}\,\left(R^E + g^{E\,\mu\,\nu}\,\partial_\mu\,\varphi\,\partial_\nu\,\varphi - V\big(\,\varphi\,\big)\right)\ ,\end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with the effective potential $V(\varphi)$ given by:

$$V(\varphi) = \frac{\left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\varphi}\right)^2}{4\,\beta} = \frac{3\mathcal{M}^2\left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\,\varphi}\right)^2}{32\,\pi}\ , \quad (5)$$

which is plotted in Fig. 1. Here we see explicitly that $\beta \gg 1$ or, equivalently, $\mathcal{M} \ll m_P$ corresponds to a potential with magnitude $V \ll m_P^4$, a necessary condition for successful inflation. This formulation of the Starobinsky model in terms of a scalar field lends itself more naturally to inclusion in a broader theoretical context, which has been the thrust of much of the recent research [3–7].

At large values of the dimensionless scalar field φ, i.e., values of the dimensionful field $\phi = \kappa^{-1}\,\varphi$ that are large

* John.Ellis@cern.ch
† nikolaos.mavromatos@kcl.ac.uk
‡ dimitri@physics.tamu.edu

[1] We note, however, that quantum-gravity corrections to (1) have been considered recently in [8], from the point of view of an exact renormalisation-group (RG) analysis [9], with the conclusion that the largeness of the R^2 coupling, required for agreement with inflationary observables [2], is naturally ensured by the presence of an asymptotically-free ultra-violet fixed point.



FIG. 1. The effective potential (5) of the collective scalar field φ in the Starobinsky model for inflation (1).

compared to the Planck scale, the potential (5) is sufficiently flat to produce phenomenologically acceptable inflation, with the scalar field φ playing the role of the inflaton. Thus, the region of the positive-definite Starobinsky potential (5) that is relevant for inflation is that where the constant and the $e^{-\sqrt{2/3}\varphi}$ terms are dominant.

With this in mind, one is led to consider phenomenological generalizations of (5) of the form [4]:

$$\tilde{V} \equiv \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} V = \frac{A}{2\kappa^2}\Big(1 - \delta\, e^{-B\varphi} + \dots\Big)\,, \qquad (6)$$

where $1 \gg A > 0$, and δ and B may be treated as free parameters that are allowed to vary from the original values $\delta = 2$, $B = \sqrt{2/3}$ in our normalization for the Einstein-frame Starobinsky action, cf, (4), and the dots represent possible higher-order terms that are $\mathcal{O}(e^{-2B\varphi})$. Using the following standard expressions for inflationary observables in the slow-roll approximation

$$\epsilon \;=\; \frac{1}{2}M_{\rm Pl}^2\Big(\frac{V'}{V}\Big)^2 \;,\; \eta \;=\; M_{\rm Pl}^2\Big(\frac{V''}{V}\Big)\;,$$
$$n_s \;=\; 1 - 6\epsilon + 2\eta \;,\; r \;=\; 16\epsilon\;,$$
$$N_\star = -M_{\rm Pl}^{-2}\int_{\varphi_i}^{\varphi_e}\frac{V}{V'}d\varphi\;, \qquad (7)$$

where $M_{\rm Pl} = M_{\rm P}/\sqrt{8\pi}$ is the reduced Planck mass and $\varphi_{i(e)}$ indicate the values of the inflaton at the beginning (end) of the inflationary era, at leading order in the small quantity $e^{-B\varphi}$ [4]:

$$n_s = 1 - 2B^2\,\delta\, e^{-B\varphi}\;,\; r = 8B^2\delta^2\, e^{-2\,B\varphi},\; N_\star = \frac{1}{B^2\,\delta}e^{B\varphi}\,,$$
$$\text{yielding}\quad n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N_\star},\quad r = \frac{8}{B^2\,N_\star^2}\;, \qquad (8)$$

where $N_\star$ is the number of e-foldings during the inflationary phase. Requiring $N_\star = 54 \pm 6$ yields the characteristic predictions $n_s = 0.964 \pm 0.004$, and the Starobinsky-model choice $B = \sqrt{2/3}$ yields $r = 0.0041^{+0.0011}_{-0.0008}$, which is highly consistent with the Planck data [2]. The question then arises how one could deviate from the characteristic Starobinsky predictions.

In this note we answer this question within the context of inflationary scenarios derived from brane cosmology and non-critical string. As we shall show, this approach predicts a different value of the coefficient $B = \sqrt{2}$, a possibility suggested previously in the framework of no-scale supergravity [4], but due here to the structure of the string genus expansion. Our approach also suggests the possibility that the coefficient δ in (6) may depend linearly on φ due to the appearance of a logarithmic operator. The prediction for B suggests a *smaller* value of r than in the Starobinsky model, which could be partially compensated by an enhancement due to the possible linear dependence of δ on φ.

We discussed in [11] a scenario for inflation within non-critical string theory that involved colliding brane universes at early epochs. The brane collision caused the string excitations on the observable Universe brane to be described by super-critical Liouville string, with *positive* central charge deficit in the standard terminology [12]. In that case, a de Sitter inflationary phase was obtained due to an anti-alignment in field space of an antisymmetric tensor field equivalent in four dimensions to a massless axion-like field scaling linear with the Robertson-Walker (RW) Einstein-frame time [12] with a dilaton field scaling logarithmically with the RW time. The presence of the brane was essential for ensuring the appropriate four-dimensional structures that lead to inflation in this scenario. This brany Liouville model of inflation is also consistent with the Planck data [11], but has more free parameters than the Starobinsky model, since it involves two fields: one scalar (dilaton) and one pseudoscalar (axion-like field). This multifield inflationary scenario can be studied in the same way as the complex Wess-Zumino inflationary model [13].

This particular *super*-critical string model did not yield an effective potential of the type (6), but we argue here that potentials of the form (6) with the non-Starobinsky value $B = \sqrt{2}$ (in our normalization (4) occur generically in dilaton/*sub*-critical string cosmologies, i.e., scenarios with *negative* central charge deficits in the terminology of [12], in the presence of branes. The branes provide a cosmological constant A that is non-perturbative in the string genus expansion, and independent of the Starobinsky inflaton field φ, which is identified (up to a minus sign) with the canonically-normalized) Liouville/dilaton of the closed-string multiplet in the Einstein frame, i.e., $\varphi = -\Phi$, where Φ is the dilaton and the string coupling is $g_s = e^{\Phi} = e^{-\varphi}$.

In this *sub*-critical string approach, the generalized Starobinsky potential (6) arises from non-perturbative string theory contributions involving brane worlds of the form

$$\tilde{V} = A \,\times\, \mathcal{O}(e^{-F_D/g_s})\,, \qquad (9)$$

where the coefficient A is independent of the string coupling g_s, whereas the constant $F_D > 0$ is due to contributions from D-branes localized at some point in the (higher-dimensional) space-time of string theory. In addition to $c = 1$ subcritical strings [14], contributions of the form (9) are known to appear in models with central charge $c = 26$ that feature a cigar-type two-dimensional black-hole metric [15] with D-branes localized at the tip of the cigar, corresponding to $\frac{SL(2)_k}{U(1)} \times \frac{SU(2)}{U(1)}$ backgrounds. The coefficients A and F_D in such models have been explicitly computed using matrix models, which are used to represent a non-perturbative version of $c = 1$ strings. The important issue for our purpose is how to identify contributions to the effective action on the brane that take the form of a cosmological constant A term that is independent of the dilaton in the Einstein frame, which we now discuss.

We first consider the case of a higher-dimensional closed string propagating in a background containing graviton and dilaton fields $G_{\mu\nu}, \Phi$. As is well known, to lowest order in the *perturbative* world-sheet genus $h \equiv 2-\chi$, corresponding to the first term in an expansion in powers $g_s^{-2+\chi} = (e^{\Phi})^{-2+\chi}$ of the closed-string coupling, namely the world-sheet sphere with $\chi = 0$, the effective target-space action in the σ-model or string-frame is given by:

$$S_{string} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^D x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}e^{-2\Phi}\Big(-\frac{2(D-26)}{3\alpha'} + R(\tilde{g}) + 4(\partial_\mu\Phi)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha')\Big)\,, \tag{10}$$

where κ is the higher-dimensional gravitational coupling constant, expressed in terms of the string scale $M_s = (\alpha')^{-1/2}$ via $\kappa^2 = 8\pi(\sqrt{\alpha'})^{D-2}\mathcal{V}^{-1} = 8\pi M_s^{-(D-2)}\mathcal{V}^{-1}$ where $\mathcal{V} = \Pi_i^n(R_i/\sqrt{\alpha'})$ is the (dimensionless) compactification volume factor, and $R_i, i = 1,\dots n = 26-D$ are the compactification radii where D is the number of the large (uncompactified) dimensions of the string. Transforming to the Einstein frame, given by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{-\frac{2\Phi}{D-2}}\,\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{11}$$

the effective action (10) becomes

$$S_{string} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^D x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\Big(-e^{\frac{4\Phi}{D-2}}\,\frac{2(D-26)}{3\alpha'} + R(g) - \frac{4}{D-2}\,(\partial_\mu\Phi)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha')\Big)\,, \tag{12}$$

on the world-sheet sphere. In the case of superstrings, one must replace $2(D-26)/3\alpha'$ in equations (10, 12) by $(D-10)/\alpha'$.

We now consider non-perturbative effects, specifically punctures in the D-dimensional space-time due to D-brane defects. The simplest scenario is to consider effective D0-branes that, depending on the string theory considered, may be either truly point-like (as in Type-IIA theory) or effectively point-like, e.g., D3-branes compactified on 3-tori, which, from the point of view of a low-energy three-space observe, would be effectively point-like defects [16, 17]. In the presence of D3-brane universes moving in the bulk space, the cosmology of such populations of D0-brane defects and their interactions with ordinary string matter on the brane (recoil) have been considered in [17]. For our purposes here we consider the simplest scenario in which the closed-string backgrounds are non-trivial on the D3 brane but are trivial in the bulk, so we set $D = 4$ in the effective action (12). The rest of the space-time dimensions are assumed either to have been compactified appropriately, or to represent bulk dimensions in which the space-time is flat. This bulk space-time is assumed to be punctured by (effectively) point-like D0-brane defects as mentioned previously, and we consider the inflationary era of such a string Universe.

The D0-branes have mass M_s/g_s where $g_s = \overline{g}_s\, e^{\Phi}(t,\vec{x})$ is the (fluctuating) string scale. If they have a density per unit three-volume n on the brane universe, they can contribute to the vacuum energy of the brane the following non-perturbative term in the σ-model frame:

$$\Lambda_{\rm D-branes} = -\int d^4x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}e^{-\Phi}M_s n\,. \tag{13}$$

In the Einstein frame (11), this becomes

$$\Lambda^E_{\rm D-branes} = -\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}M_s\tilde{n}\,, \tag{14}$$

where we have taken into account the scaling of the density $\tilde{n} = e^{-3\Phi}n$ with the proper three-volume.

The inflationary phase is one in which there is a constant density of D0-branes (and thus brane creation) in $D = 4$, which may arise from an influx of D-particles entering the D3 brane from the bulk, that compensates the density dilution due to the exponential expansion of the brane universe space-time. In this case the D0-contribution to the brane vacuum energy is constant and dilaton-independent in the Einstein frame on the brane.

In the following, we perform the redefinition

$$\Phi \to -\Phi\,, \tag{15}$$

which corresponds to the well-known strong-weak coupling string duality $g_s \to g_s^{-1}$. Combining the terms (12) and (14), making this duality transformation, and normalizing the dilaton kinetic term as in (4), i.e., redefining $\varphi = \sqrt{2}\Phi$, we arrive at the following effective target-space action on the brane world:

$$S_{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big(R - (\partial_\mu\varphi)^2 - 16\pi\,\frac{\tilde{n}}{\overline{g}_s\,M_s\mathcal{V}} + \frac{44}{3\alpha'}e^{-\sqrt{2}\varphi} + \dots\Big)\,, \tag{16}$$

where the reader is reminded that $\kappa^2 = 8\pi\alpha'\mathcal{V}^{-1} = 8\pi M_s^{-2}\mathcal{V}^{-1} \equiv M_{\rm Pl}^{-2}$ is the four-dimensional reduced Planck mass, and the dots denote higher-order terms

coming, e.g., from higher-order terms in the string genus expansion [2]. We see explicitly that the effective potential (16) has a form similar to that of the Starobinsky model (6) at large φ, with the correspondence:

$$A \;=\; 16\pi\,\frac{\tilde{n}}{\overline{g}_s\,M_s\mathcal{V}}\;,\; A\delta \;=\; \frac{44}{3}M_s^2\;,\; B \;=\; \sqrt{2}\;. \quad (17)$$

In the case of superstrings with critical target-space-time dimension 10, the relation $A\delta = 44M_s^2/3$ in (17) is replaced by $A\delta = 6M_s^2$. Inflation occurs for $\varphi \gg 1$, and the exit from inflation occurs small φ around zero. Parameters of the model such as the constant n and the higher-order terms provide the flexibility to cancel the central charge deficit when $\varphi = 0$. On the other hand, for negative φ the terms of higher order in $\mathcal{O}(e^{-\varphi/\sqrt{2}})$ dominate.

Using the standard expressions (7) for the slow-roll parameters we find that

$$n_s \;=\; 1 - \frac{2}{N_\star}\,,\; r \;=\; \frac{4}{N_\star^2}\,. \quad (18)$$

The prediction for n_s is the same as in the Starobinsky model, but the prediction for r is a factor of 3 smaller, due to the larger value of B in this brane model, as also found in a no-scale supergravity model in [4].

The measured magnitude of primordial scalar density fluctuations provides the following constraint on the effective inflationary potential:

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{V}}{\epsilon}\right)^{1/4} = 0.0275\,M_{\rm Pl}\,. \quad (19)$$

We can then use the first relation in (17), the expression $M_{\rm Pl}^2 = M_s^2\mathcal{V}/8\pi$ and a typical value of $N_\star = 54$ to obtain an interesting constraint on the parameters of the model, namely

$$\frac{\tilde{n}}{\overline{g}_s\,M_s^3\mathcal{V}^2} \;\simeq\; 8\times 10^{-14}\,. \quad (20)$$

In "old" superstring models one would expect $\overline{g}_s \sim 0.8$, corresponding to $\tilde{n}/\mathcal{V}^2 = \mathcal{O}(6\times 10^{-14})M_s^3$. Assuming that six dimensions are compactified with a typical scale R so that $\mathcal{V} \equiv R^6$, the constraint (20) is respected for $\tilde{n} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)\times M_s^3$ and $R = \mathcal{O}(10)$. In this way, measurements of inflationary observables may provide interesting insights into string dynamics.

An interesting generalization of (6) is natural in the formulation of $c = 1$ Liouville string theory used above. In this theory, the world-sheet conformal algebra is logarithmic [19], i.e., it includes a pair of operators that are described by world-sheet deformations $\mu e^{\alpha\varphi}$ and $\mu'\varphi e^{\alpha}\varphi$, where α is the appropriate Liouville-dressing dimension and φ the Liouville mode. This possibility also occurs in higher-dimensional situations, for instance in the above-mentioned example of the propagation of strings in an ensemble of D0-branes [20]: the recoil of a brane defect during its interaction with the string is described by a logarithmic pair of operators of the above form, with the constants μ and μ' playing the rôles of the position and momentum of the recoiling D0 brane. Thus, the incorporation of D-brane recoil in the sub-critical string model described above, as a result of the interaction of matter strings with the background of the ensemble of D0-branes, modifies the potential (6) to become

$$V_{\rm recoil}^{\rm d-brane} = A - \tilde{B}e^{-\sqrt{2}\varphi} - C\varphi\,e^{-\sqrt{2}\varphi}\;, \quad (21)$$

where $A, \tilde{B}, C$ are model-dependent constants, and we restrict ourselves to leading order in $e^{-\sqrt{2}\varphi}$ for large positive φ. This potential has a general form that is qualitatively similar to that of the original Starobinsky model, provided that $A, \tilde{B}$ and C are all positive.

We are led to consider the inflationary predictions of a more general case, in which the exponent is arbitrary as in (6), i.e.,

$$V_{\rm recoil}^{\rm d-brane} = A - e^{-B\varphi}\Big(\tilde{B} - C\varphi\Big) + \ldots\,,, \quad (22)$$

where the $\ldots$ indicate higher orders in $e^{-B\varphi}$, and $B = \sqrt{2}$ in our D-foam case (21). Using again the slow-roll formulae (7), we again find that

$$n_s \;=\; 1 - \frac{2}{N_\star} \quad (23)$$

as in the Starobinsky model., with no explicit dependence on the parameters $A, \tilde{B}$ and C. On the other hand, we also find that

$$r \;=\; \frac{8}{B^2N_\star^2}\left(1 + \frac{2}{\ln N_\star}\right) + \ldots\,, \quad (24)$$

where the logarithmic correction is due to the prefactor linear in φ in (22), and the dots represent terms that are formally of higher order in $1/\ln N_\star$. The leading correction in (24) is numerically significant: for $N_\star = 54 \pm 6$, corresponding to $n_s = 0.964 \pm 0.004$, one has $\ln N_\star = 3.99^{+0.10}_{-0.12}$, so the tensor-to-scalar ratio r would be *enhanced* by $\sim 50\%$ compared to the value with a constant prefactor, as in the original Starobinsky model. However, the higher-order terms represented in by the dots in (24) are potentially important and depend, in general on the relative magnitudes of the coefficients $\tilde{B}$ and C in (22).

One could also consider a further generalization of the Starobinsky model, in which the potential has the form

$$V_{\rm recoil}^{\rm d-brane} = A - C_n\varphi^n e^{-B\varphi} + \ldots\,,, \quad (25)$$

although this is not suggested by our particular stringy model, and the dots represent possible terms of lower

[2] For example, massless vector fields on the 3-brane Universe with Maxwell field strengths and a Born-Infeld world-volume action could make contributions to the vacuum energy $\propto e^{-3\varphi/\sqrt{2}}$ in the Einstein frame.

order in φ. It is easy to check that in this case the prediction (23) is still unchanged, whereas the prediction (24) for the tensor-to-scalar ratio is modified to

$$r \;=\; \frac{8}{B^2 N_\star^2}\left(1+\frac{2n}{\ln N_\star}+\dots\right). \qquad (26)$$

It is clear that a specific model for the non-leading terms in (25) would be needed to make any definite prediction, but (26) strengthens the basic point of (24), namely that the tensor-to-scalar ratio r may be enhanced significantly compared to models in which the subasymptotic corrections are purely exponential. We note that potential terms of the form (25), but without the constant A term, that also lead to an enhanced scalar-to-tensor ratio r, have been suggested in [21], in the context of supergravity models with broken shift symmetries. The presence of the constant term A in our case leads to different predictions for the slow-roll parameters.

In conclusion: the class of brane cosmology models discussed here offers interesting alternatives to the Starobinsky model. The faster exponential approach to the asymptotic constant value of the potential leads *a priori* to a reduced prediction for the tensor-to-scalar perturbation ratio r, as seen in (18). On the other hand, recoils of the brane defects leading to a logarithmic correction to the effective potential (22) may compensate partially for this suppression, as seen in (24). This example reinforces the interest in improving the experimental sensitivity to r, which may provide interesting insights into string and brane phenomenology. In this connection, it would be interesting to develop further the comparisons, connections and contrasts with other recent formulations of Starobinsky-like inflationary models [3–6].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of J.E. and N.E.M. was supported in part by the London Centre for Terauniverse Studies (LCTS), using funding from the European Research Council via the Advanced Investigator Grant 267352 and by STFC (UK) under the research grant ST/J002798/1. That of D.V.N. was supported in part by the DOE grant DE-FG03-95-ER-40917.

[1] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980).
[2] For Planck constraints on inflationary models, see P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5082 [astro-ph.CO]; for a general survey of Planck results including inflation, see P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5062 [astro-ph.CO].
[3] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 111301 (2013) [arXiv:1305.1247 [hep-th]].
[4] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, JCAP **1310**, 009 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3537 [hep-th]].
[5] K. Nakayama, F. Takahashi and T. T. Yanagida, arXiv:1303.7315 [hep-ph]; arXiv:1305.5099 [hep-ph]; R. Kallosh and A. Linde, JCAP **1306**, 028 (2013) [arXiv:1306.3214 [hep-th]]; W. Buchmuller, V. Domcke and K. Kamada, arXiv:1306.3471 [hep-th]; M. A. G. Garcia and K. A. Olive, arXiv:1306.6119 [hep-ph]; F. Farakos, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, Nucl. Phys. B **876**, 187 (2013) [arXiv:1307.1137 [hep-th]]. D. Roest, M. Scalisi and I. Zavala, arXiv:1307.4343 [hep-th]; S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and M. Porrati, arXiv:1307.7696 [hep-th].
[6] J. Alexandre, N. Houston and N. E. Mavromatos, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 027703 (2014) [arXiv:1312.5197 [gr-qc]]; *ibid.* D **88**, 125017 (2013) [arXiv:1310.4122 [hep-th]]
[7] G. F. Giudice and H. M. Lee, arXiv:1402.2129 [hep-ph].
[8] E. J. Copeland, C. Rahmede and I. D. Saltas, arXiv:1311.0881 [gr-qc].
[9] For reviews see: D. F. Litim, arXiv:0810.3675 [hep-th]; M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, New J. Phys. **14**, 055022 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2274 [hep-th]].
[10] K. S. Stelle, Gen. Rel. Grav. **9**, 353 (1978); B. Whitt, Phys. Lett. B **145**, 176 (1984).
[11] J. R. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos, D. V. Nanopoulos and A. Sakharov, New J. Phys. **6**, 171 (2004) [gr-qc/0407089].
[12] I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas, J. R. Ellis and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B **257**, 278 (1991); Nucl. Phys. B **328**, 117 (1989); Phys. Lett. B **211**, 393 (1988).
[13] J. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos and D. J. Mulryne, arXiv:1401.6078 [astro-ph.CO]; D. Croon, J. Ellis and N. E. Mavromatos, Phys. Lett. B **724**, , 165 (2013) [arXiv:1303.6253 [astro-ph.CO]].
[14] S. Y. .Alexandrov, V. A. Kazakov and D. Kutasov, JHEP **0309**, 057 (2003) [hep-th/0306177].
[15] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 314 (1991).
[16] J. R. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos and M. Westmuckett, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 044036 (2004) [gr-qc/0405066]; Phys. Rev. D **71**, 106006 (2005) [gr-qc/0501060];
[17] J. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos and D. V. Nanopoulos, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 2243 (2011) [arXiv:0912.3428 [astro-ph.CO]]; N. E. Mavromatos, V. A. Mitsou, S. Sarkar and A. Vergou, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 1956 (2012) [arXiv:1012.4094 [hep-ph]].
[18] J. Martin, C. Ringeval and V. Vennin, arXiv:1303.3787 [astro-ph.CO].
[19] I. I. Kogan and N. E. Mavromatos, Phys. Lett. B **375**, 111 (1996) [hep-th/9512210].
[20] I. I. Kogan, N. E. Mavromatos and J. F. Wheater, Phys. Lett. B **387**, 483 (1996) [hep-th/9606102]. J. R. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos and D. V. Nanopoulos, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13**, 1059 (1998) [hep-th/9609238].
[21] T. Li, Z. Li and D. V. Nanopoulos, arXiv:1311.6770 [hep-ph].